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Testnevelési Egyetem

Intézményi azonosító: FI 89399

Record of the PhD Entrance Examination

1. *To be completed partly by the applicant, partly by the examination committee (the framed parts).*
2. It is necessary to certify the results indicated in the form (e.g. work within the Students’ Scientific Association (TDK\*), the photocopy of the first page of the scientific articles, conference abstracts and the credentials/awards, university degree(s) etc.).

Name of the applicatn: ………………………………………….............………………………........…….

## Evaluation of the Previous Results to Date (The relevant numbers should be indicated *max. 28 points*)

A1. **Result of the university studies**\*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 3,51 – 4.5 | cum laude | 4 point |
|  4,51 – 5.00  | summa cum laude | 8 point |

(\*The result of the university degree is taken into consideration. If the applicant is applying for PhD training in the year of his/her graduation the average of the final examinations or other major examinations is taken into consideration.)

**A1. Evaluation of the Examination Board:……....…(max. 8 points)**

A2. . Evaluation of the **Scientific Work**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Awards** | **Point/pc** | **pc** | **total points** |
| **TDK\*/Rector’s academic competition:** National TDK I. award | 4 p |  |  |
| National TDK II. award | 3 p |  |  |
| National TDK III. award | 2 p |  |  |
| TDK of a university, Rector’s academic competition I. award | 3 p |  |  |
| TDK of a university, Rector’s academic competition II. award | 2 p |  |  |
| TDK of a university, Rector’s academic competition III. award | 1.5 p |  |  |
| Rector’s praise | 1.5 p |  |  |
| **TDK Work:** if a lecture without an award is registered and  presented at a TDK conference | 1 p |  |  |
| **Conference:** participation as a first author/in a foreign language | 2 p |  |  |
|  participation as a co-author/in a foreign language | 1 p |  |  |
| participation as a first author/national | 1 p |  |  |
|  participation as a co-author/national | 0.5 p |  |  |
| **Publication:** as the first author; IF | 8 p |  |  |
| as a co-author, IF | 4 p |  |  |
| as the first author; without IF | 3 p |  |  |
| as a co-author, without IF | 2 p |  |  |
| **Sum:** |  |

 **A2. Evaluation of the Examination Board: ….........……**

A3. **Other results/accomplishments**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Awards | **Point/pc** | **pc** | **total points** |
| Advanced language examination (C)\* | 3 p |  |  |
| Intermediate language examination (C)\*  | 2 p |  |  |
| A second degree (university, college), qualification examination (only one counts) | 3 p |  |  |
| Other professional achievements – e.g. patent, innovative activity – points evaluated and granted by the examination board  | max. 3 p |  |  |
| “Good student, good sports person” award I. prize | 3 p |  |  |
| Olympic/World championship medal I-III. prize | 6 p |  |  |
| Continental/Universiade championship medal I-III. prize | 4 p |  |  |
| National championship title I-III. prize | 3 p |  |  |

\* A C level of at least intermediate (level B2) state accredited complex language examination or an equivalent foreign language certificate is compulsory for PhD admission. Therefore, only additional language examination

**A3. Evaluation of the Examination Board:……....…(max. 8 points)**

Evaluation of the scientific and other results e (A) point:………..……
Evaluation based on the PhD entrance examination (B) point:……..………

 total number of points by the committee: .....................