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## Proposal for the identity of the official reviewers and members of the examination committee for the final defence

## Personal data of the doctoral candidate:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name:       | Student ID: |
| Program number: | Supervisor: |
| Title of the dissertation:  |

## Official reviewers

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Name | Scientific degree | Position | Place of Work and its Postal Address (Post Office Box) |
| 1. odt id:       |  |       |       |
| 2. odt id:       |       |       |       |
| 3. (póttag) odt id:       |       |       |       |

## Members of the Examination Committee for the Final Defence

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Name | Scientific degree | Position | Place of Work and its Postal Address (Post Office Box) |
| President:odt id:       |       |       |       |
| 1. Secretary:odt id:       |       |       |       |
| 2. Member: odt id:       |       |       |       |

The jury consists of a chairman, at least two members (one or two alternates) and two official judges. The chairman **technically competent professor, Professor Emeritus / emeritáj ,** associate professor. All members of the committee must have an academic degree. The ratio of external (not in civil service or employment relationship with the University) and internal members of the committee should be at least 1/3-1 / 3. At least one third (at least one, but not all) of the members of the committee or one of the judges is a (external) professional who is not in an employment relationship with the University and does not participate in the work of the given DI. The University **Professor Emeritus / emeritája** in this respect, he is considered to have an employment relationship with the University. If the number of members of the committee does not reach 5, only one member shall not be employed by the University. Whenever possible, the committee should be appointed with at least two members in each group. The candidate's supervisor may participate in the work of the committee in a deliberative manner if the jury so requests.

One of the official reviewers is a professional who is not employed by the University.

Neither a member of the judging committee nor an official judge may be a close relative of the candidate, or from whom an objective adjudication of the case is not expected, or a direct supervisor, employee or co-author of the candidate or supervisor. Similarly, a person who was included in another part of the doctoral procedure (preliminary opinion, complex examination ) may not participate in the evaluation procedure . In the event of a conflict of interest, the doctoral candidate may request the EDT or, in the case of a delegated power, the DI Council to change the composition of the jury.

Supervisor's statement:

I, the undersigned… ……………………………… .. supervisor, declare that there is no conflict of interest with any of the nominated committee members, they fully comply with the requirements of the Doctoral Regulations.

 …………………………………………… Supervisor

Supports the proposal

…………………………………………… ……………………………………………

 Supervisor Programleader

Date: Date:

|  |
| --- |
| **The candidate may continue the graduation procedure.** |
| ……………………………………….Head of the Doctoral School | ………………………………………President of the Doctoral Council |
|  |  |
| Date: Budapest, 20...................................... | Date: Budapest, 20...................................... |